

Vengeance
By Dennis Stephens
September 26, 1994

Transcribed by
Pete McLaughlin
June 15, 2012

Today is the 26 of September 1994 and ahh... I want to take up today this subject of vengeance.
Vengeance

Vengeance is one of those fascinating mental phenomena that people get so interiorized into that they find it incredibly difficult to evaluate or to study or to find anything about. You can... you can ransack all the books on psychology in the libraries and so forth and you will find this subject of vengeance mentioned. You will find it described. You will find examples of it, particularly in clinical psychology and so forth, but no where will you find the mechanism of vengeance explained.

00:54

Now this is true also in Dianetics and Scientology. Ron did ahh... excellent pioneer work on the overt act motivator sequence. He defined the overt act and he defined the motivator, both of which you'll find in... defined in the write up of TROM. And ahh... you put those two together; the overt act motivator sequence and we have the subject of vengeance. But nowhere in Dianetics and Scientology does Ron say why the mechanism exists. How come the mechanism?

1:34

He... he describes the mechanism admirably but he does not give the rationale behind the mechanism. Apparently it was unknown to Ron. It was unknown to Ron.

01:49

But Ron was in excellent company because it seems to have been unknown to every other psychological researcher on this planet. But you might say... but you might say it's a perfectly natural mechanism. That if somebody does something harmful to you it... it... it's natural and instinctive to do something... do that harmful thing back to them.

02:09

Oh? Why... why is it natural? Why? That's what we've got to ask, is why. Why the mechanism? Why the mechanism? It's not as... it's not as obvious, it's not as rational, it's not as reasonable as you might suppose.

If you... If you ex... the more you examine this, the more ... the more... the more irrational the mechanism becomes. For example somebody comes along and punches you. Why do you feel an

urge to punch them? Of all the things you could do, of all the infinite responses you could make, why do you feel the urge to punch them after they punch you? Why not, as Jesus Christ said, why not turn the other cheek? You see?

02:52

Of all ... All the... all the choices there why that one? And until you can answer that question you don't understand vengeance. But in TROM we do understand the mechanism and we do understand why a person receiving a punch will feel compelled to punch the person who punched him.

03:16

In other words, why he feels compelled to get into this subject of vengeance.

The mechanism of vengeance is what might be called a stimulus response mechanism. It's not a ahh... it's not a considered response. It's not a thing of the analytical mind. It's a... it's a response mechanism. It's what the psychologists would call... some brands of psychologists would call a stimulus response mechanism.

03:43

You can see this. You see a group of boys on their way to school in the morning. There they are walking along carrying their books and suddenly for no reason that we know of one of the boys will turn around and punch one of the other boys in the back. You know, it's a... a nice sunny morning and he felt like doing it so he did it. Now watch the other boy, watch the second boy who was punched. He doesn't stand there and say, "Now, what is my response to this?" His response is immediate and instant. He will go straight in, and attempt to punch the boy who punched him. And preferably punch him in the same part of his body that he received the punch. It's an exact... exact... exact replica.

04:28

The... the stimulus is so powerful that the second lad would have to be restrained from punching the boy who punched him. He would have to be restrained.

What is the basis of this mechanism? How come this mechanism? Well that is the subject of this... that is the subject of this talk.

04:45

We ought, I suppose, at the outset give some definition some form of definition of this subject of Vengeance. And umm... I won't attempt to define it accurately or precisely but let's just say that uhh... loosely vengeance can be defined or described as umm... the urge to give back to a person some unwanted action that they performed against you.

You receive an unwanted action and your urge is to give back that unwanted action to the person who... who gave it to you.

05:24

That... that... That is essentially... that is essentially vengeance. I mean we could... we could... we could chatter this up and so forth and end up eventually with a very precise definition but I don't want to do it at this stage because until we understand the mechanism of vengeance our definition will be very, very... very, very shallow, very, very incomplete.

05:45

Now to understand this mechanism of vengeance we have to look at the goals packages because this gives... the goals packages give the interchange of postulates, counter postulates and so forth in life, and only by examining ... in other words, the answer to this subject of vengeance, the reason why, of the subject can only be found in the subject of the goals packages. So let... let...let...let us ahh... let us on ordinary goals package, lets us look at the "to know" goals package and ahh... let's say where games play is non compulsive. And that is the most wide open of all the goals packages that... the basic goals package and games play is non compulsive. Wel couldn't have any less restrictions, in other words.

06:38

So let's look at this and lets have... lets imagine that one person is occupying the "must be known" postulate and the... his opponent is occupying the "mustn't know" postulate and let us assume that this game goes on in progress and that "mustn't know" wins the game.

07:00

Now at the point where he wins the game he drives "must be known" into "mustn't be known". We get the postulate change. "Must be known" goes through the IP barrier and then goes into "mustn't be known". So at this point in time, call the person ahh... who is operating on "must be known" we'll call him person A and the person who... the victor in the game is person B. he was... he was... he was working with the "mustn't know" postulate.

07:39

Well person A receives the overwhelm and moves from "must be known" to "mustn't be known". Now person A then is now his... his ahh... postulate that he's using against the universe, he's using in the universe, is "mustn't be known". But this isn't vengeance, is it? This isn't vengeance.

08:00

There he... he... he... received an overwhelm. He received something he didn't want. He didn't want to be driven must "must be known" into "mustn't be known" but he was driven into it so he

received an overwhelm. His postulate was overwhelmed. He lost the game and ahh... but where's the vengeance here?

08:18

There the person overwhelmed him. Might he.... He was overwhelmed by "mustn't know" but his postulate changed from "must be known" to "mustn't be know". It didn't change from "must be know" to "mustn't know". He's now not firing off a "mustn't know" postulate back at the person who overwhelmed him, is he? So there's no vengeance.

08:45

Well that's very strange, very strange isn't it? Where's the vengeance? How come vengeance gets into games play? Well. Well, you... you... you need some limitation of the goals package in order for the mechanism to show itself. Now what sort of limitation do you need?

09:06

Well there's two limitations you need on the goals package to make vengeance occur, and once these limitations are made in the goals package vengeance will occur and always occur in the goals package. So we can nail it, we can nail it completely. Now what are these two... what are these two limitations?

09:31

The first limitation on the goals package is that games play must be compulsive in the postulate set, in the goals package. In other words, the complementary postulate situation is out. That's reduced to zero. Games play is compulsive. The games... the four classes in the set have been reduced down to the two games classes.

I'll refer you to my talk on supplementary lecture 3 round about that period on this subject of compulsive games play. Just review that material if necessary so that you understand exactly what we mean by compulsive games play.

10:13

So the postulate set is reduced now down to two games classes. That's the first requisite. Compulsive games play must occur in the set.

10:22

Now the second requisite is that... that... the... the postulate set must be reduced down to a one game class postulate set.

So game... first requisite compulsive games play. That reduces the postulate down to two games classes. Second requisite the postulate set must be reduced down to a single games class.

10:55

Now as you recall there's a way... a definite way of doing this. The person reduces it from a two games class postulate set down to a one game class postulate set by simply refusing to occupy the... one of the games classes. Or, in other words, the... the postulates in that game... in those... in that games class are reduced to zero and that reduces the set down to... to ... to a single game class.

11:25

In the... in the general case the ahh... the first limitation we have to take the XY set. The first limitation would be to reduce that set down to the classes of X and 1-Y and that's the first game and the other game is Y and 1-X. and that's the second game.

Well by... by making Y equal to zero and 1-X equal to zero the person now has reduced it down to a single game class postulate set of X and 1-Y. hasn't he, because the other classes aren't available to him. Those postulates have been reduced to zero, he decided not to use them.

12:07

So that's how it's done. All this is mentioned on ... on supplementary lecture 3.

12:14

All right now let's see how this would work out in an actual example.

Umm... let's take umm... the goal "to punch", the example of the school boys. Now "to punch" the goals package, it has the purpose "to punch", "to not punch", "to be punched" and "to not be punched". Now reducing that down to a one game class postulate set there, let's reduce it down to the class of "to punch" and "to not be punched". That's the... that's the single game class. "to punch and "to not be punched."

12:59

The class of "to be... the game of "to be punched" and to not... "to be punched and to not punch" is reduced to zero. OK, so reduce it down to a one game class postulate set.

13:12

Now let's look at a game situation. We have the person now... we have player A, he's umm... he's occupying the "to punch" role and player B is occupying "to not be punched" role and both of them have got this set reduced down to a one game class postulate set. Right?

13:38

Ok, now player A wins the game. He punches and he drives his punches home. So player B's postulate "mustn't be punched" is overwhelmed. Now it goes into "must be punched" right?

13:58

So now we have a complimentary situation... postulate situation. The game ends. Player A has won the game. And the situation now is "Must punch" and "must be punched", overwhelm, end of game.

Now let's take the viewpoint of player B. he's now driven into must be punched, but he... he's already agreed that "must be punched" is not a game he can play so he can't use that postulate in games play. But he can't use the "mustn't be punched" postulate because that's in overwhelm. He's just lost that game, so he can't use that postulate. So... and he can't use "must be punched" because that he's agreed is a zero postulate. So he can't stay on that side of the goals package, can he? All the postulates on that side of the goals package are now unavailable to him. So he has no choice but to do a valence flip over to the other side of the package.

14:59

So he arrives on the other side of the package and the two postulates on the other side of the package are "must punch" and "mustn't punch". But "mustn't punch" he's already agreed is not a playable game because the set is reduced down to a one game class. So he goes into the postulate "must punch" and that's the one he uses. And that... and so he just goes ahead and punches. So there is the vengeance. You see it?

15:29

It's all that can happen when the postulate set is reduced down to a single games class, vengeance is all that can happen. The person is... is in ahh... is in a "must punch" "mustn't be punched", receives a punch, he valence flips over to the other side of the package and punches. That's all he can do, just like the school boy.

Walking along the road, somebody punches him. The only thing he can do is punch back. He has to flip over to the other side of the goals package. his "mustn't be punched" got overwhelmed so he can now... flips over to the other side of the goals package, quite compulsively, and dramatizes "must punch".

16:12

And there is the explanation of the vengeance mechanism. It's the only explanation of the vengeance mechanism. There is no other explanation... there is no other valid explanation of the mechanism cause this happens to be the truth of the matter. So in TROM with our understanding of the goals packages we have for the first time, and to the best of my knowledge, the first time in all of human history an understanding of the vengeance mechanism we can actually say why it happens. For the first time we can take this phenomena of vengeance out of the class of "Oh well, it's just human. It's just a human foible." Take it out of the class of that and now reduce it

down and say, “it happens because of so and so, and so and so.” And nail it right down to the boards. We’ve got it.

17:11

Now all that’s needed to... we need to know now... all we need to ask now is ahh... umm... vengeance... we know that vengeance will only occur when a goals package is reduced down to a single game class postulate set. So all we now have to ask, under what circumstances or what sort of goals packages can be reduced down to a single game class postulate set.

17:33

Well the answer is any goals package can. If games play becomes sufficiently compulsive within the goals package it eventually will be reduced down to a single game class postulate set. It can apply to any goals package, but there are some goals packages by their very nature... only can, intrinsically, by their very nature, intrinsically can only have a one game class postulate set.

18:11

Now what sort of goals are these? These are the highly destructive goals, the destructive goals. To give you an example, let’s take the goals “to destroy”. Now the goal “to destroy” has the postulates in it “to destroy” to... to... uhh... let’s give the enforcements, “must destroy”, “mustn’t destroy”, “must be destroyed” and “mustn’t be destroyed”. Well quite clearly the only playable game in that goals package is “must destroy” versus “mustn’t be destroyed”.

18:46

Now why is that? Well the other game is “must be destroyed” versus “mustn’t destroy” but how on earth can you win a game when your postulate is “must be destroyed?” Every time you win with that postulate you lose, because you’re destroyed. Get it?

19:07

So that is an unplayable game. So the “to destroy” goals package only has one games class in it’s postulates set, which is “must destroy” versus “mustn’t be destroyed”. So any destructive action, any goals package where the “to blank” leg... where the “to blank” postulate is a destructive postulate will contain an... and ... will contain and only contain a one game class postulate set and when we see this goals package in life we will always see vengeance associated with it... associated with it.

19:58

It’s not that there’s a choice, on these goals packages... or put it this way, in general when the goals package has been reduced down to a single game class postulate set, any time we see games play within that goals package we will see vengeance.

20:18

Now, now you see how we've nailed it. We've now pinpointed it. We've pinned it to the boards haven't we. We've got it, got the whole subject of vengeance down.

20:27

Returning to our example of the school boys we can now see the... the ahh... the goal "to punch." The goals package there has must... the legs are "must punch", "mustn't punch", "must be punched" and "mustn't be punched" but the only game that's playable in that goals package is "must punch" versus "mustn't be punched". The other game of "must be punched" versus "mustn't punch" is an unplayable game, because it's... the... the postulate "must be punched" is a destructive... is a self destructive postulate. A person can't win in life occupying that postulate so that games an unplayable game.

21:17

So that is why when we.... That is why when we see the schoolboys walking on the road; one punches the other, why the boy who is punched immediately punches back. It's all he can do. There's nothing else he can do in the "to punch" goals package because that goals package by its very nature, intrinsically by its very nature has been reduced to a one game class postulate set.

Now these destructive goals packages, these so called non-life goals packages, we call then non-life goals packages are very, very common, their very, very common. And each and every one of them has a single game class postulate set and each and every one of them will manifest vengeance in the goals package. Once the person gets into the goals package their into vengeance.

22:13

Now this is a tremendously valuable technical datum, gives you a tremendous understanding of the human psyche and a tremendous understanding of this phenomenon of vengeance. You see how the person gets into this subject of a destructive goals package, you know and... because people spend their whole lives in this subject of vengeance. You know, you can get family feuds that go on for generations and every... every few years the feud bursts out and they go out and start firing rifles at each other. And killing each other and they all come back and bury their dead and it all quiets down and they lick their wounds and they then plot vengeance against the other family again and then a few years later it's on again and their kill each other again.

And it can happen internationally. I mean, for hundreds of years the countries of Germany and France have been... have been at vengeance with each other. These two countries have got a constant overt act motivator sequence. It's been going on for hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of years. Just read up you're history books, either Germany's invading France or France has been invading Germany and it's been going on and on and on and it will just go on ...

an on, you see. It just goes on forever till you understand the mechanism and can stop doing it and just erase the whole... the whole god dammed stupid mechanism from the mind.

Once they get... the person gets stuck in these...in compuls... in a goals package which has been reduced down to a one game class postulate set, their into vengeance. And once into vengeance it goes on forever. There's no end to vengeance, there's no end to it. And that is... the final thing you should know about vengeance, it never ends. It's got no end... no... no postulate to end it. it...it...if there ever was a mechanism in this universe which ensured that the mutu.... That the universe would job along forever it would be the vengeance mechanism, the overt act/motivator sequence. It just absolutely guarantees it.

24:29

You know, a person can get so far stuck into vengeance that the only reason that he stays alive is in order to reap vengeance upon the opponent, that's the only thing that's keeping him alive. Without that he would die [chuckle] but it's sufficient motivation to keep him alive. You know, it can get that bad. And it keeps... the whole mechanism keeps this universe jogging along. Keeps compulsive games play jogging along in the universe, is this mechanism of vengeance, and now we can understand it in TROM.

25:02

And understanding it we can do something about it.

Now ... ahy...as I say, any goals package, by compulsive games play, can be reduced down to a single game class postulate set, but it's fairly rare on a life goals package, fairly rare. Umm... in the "to know" goals package I can't conceive of a person being able to operate in life with that goals package reduced down to a single game class postulate set, but there are some... some... some junior life goals which can be, where vengeance can occur.

You can see... in the "to sex" goals package you will see vengeance and that's a life goals package. There is such a thing as sexual vengeance. So ahh... it can happen in a junior... in a junior life goals package but I don't think ahh... I can't conceive of it happening in the basic "to know" goals package but it has happened in a junior life goals package. but by far, and by and away the vast majority of vengeance comes about when a person gets stuck in one of these non life umm... non life, destructive junior goals packages like "to destroy" or "to punch" or "to blame" or... or "to degrade" or you know there's an army of them, theirs thousands of them. And they get stuck in one of these non life junior goals packages and they... this goals package has only got a one game class postulate set and once they get into the goals package their into vengeance and it's going to kill them and their going to... their going to... it's going to destroy them eventually, the goals packages, but they're going to destroy an awful lot of people around them in the mean time before they eventually... before it eventually destroys them.

It's a very, very nasty mechanism

27:11

Or to put it round the other way if we could ever end this subject of vengeance on this planet in mankind, if we could just take mankind and end the subject of vengeance, redu... get him psychologically to a point where he stopped using it, he just finishes with it, that's it, we would have a utopia on this planet. If we could just end that rather stupid game called vengeance. And it is a very, very stupid game, I can assure you.

As you come to study this subject and study the material I've given you on this tape you will realize not only the utter futility of vengeance but the sheer maniacal stupidity of it.

Well I see I'm running out of tape here and so I am going to have to close off now. So I hope this material is of use to you and thank you very much.

28:04

End of tape